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Generation of structured light beams with polarization variation along arbitrary spatial trajectories using tri-layer metasurfaces

Tong Nan¹,², Huan Zhao³, Jinying Guo⁴,⁵, Xinke Wang², Hao Tian¹ and Yan Zhang²*¹

Conventionally, the spatially structured light beams produced by metasurfaces primarily highlight the polarization modulation of the beams propagating along the optical axis or the beams’ spatial transmission trajectory. In particular, along the optical axis, the polarization state is either constant or varies continuously in each output plane. Here, we develop innovative spatially structured light beams with continually changing polarization along any arbitrary spatial transmission trajectories. With tri-layer metallic metasurfaces, the geometric characteristics of each layer structure can be adjusted to modulate the phase and polarization state of the incident terahertz (THz) wave. The beam will converge to the predefined trajectory along several paths to generate a Bessel-like beam with longitudinal polarization changes. We demonstrate the versatility of the approach by designing two THz-band structured light beams with varying polarization states along the spatial helical transmission trajectory. Continuous linear polarization changes and linear polarization to right circular polarization (RCP) and back to linear polarization changes are realized respectively. The experimental results are basically consistent with the simulated results. Our proposal for arbitrary trajectory structured light beams with longitudinally varying polarization offers a practical method for continuously regulating the characteristics of spatial structured light beams with non-axial transmission. This technique has potential uses in optical encryption, particle manipulation, and biomedical imaging.
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Introduction

A structured light beam is a unique arrangement of different light field properties in space and time¹.². While temporal properties concentrate on the temporal and spectral management of the light field, spatial properties handle the control of the light field’s amplitude (intensity), phase (wavefront), and polarization. Many unusual beams, including spiral phase beams, Bessel beams, vector beams, Airy beams, and spatiotemporal beams, can be created by adjusting the spatial or even spatiotemporal
structure of light waves\textsuperscript{3−7}. Structured light beams have several traditional uses. For example, the use of Bessel beams can result in increased depth of field in imaging procedures\textsuperscript{3}; the use of vortex beams can increase the number of wireless communication channels, improving the communication systems’ ability to transmit information\textsuperscript{3,11,12}; using vector beams to focus can result in smaller focal spots\textsuperscript{13}. Structured light beams have become an important research topic in recent years, with many advances being made in fields like optical communication and data transmission\textsuperscript{3,11,12}, optical sensing and measurement\textsuperscript{13}, optical manipulation and control\textsuperscript{14}, optical encryption\textsuperscript{15}, quantum information processing\textsuperscript{16,17}, and super-resolution imaging\textsuperscript{18,19}.

Spatial light modulators (SLMs) are commonly employed in conventional techniques to produce spatially structured light beams. For example, arbitrary vector beams can be generated based on SLM and a 4-f system\textsuperscript{20}. Furthermore, nondiffracting Bessel beams with a polarization state that changes with propagation distance can be produced by combining SLM with a reflecting geometry\textsuperscript{21}. Moreover, oscillating polarized vector beams can be formed by cascading SLMs\textsuperscript{22}. Nonetheless, current techniques that employ SLMs to produce spatially structured light beams face a number of challenges, such as a restricted operational bandwidth, elevated expenses, poor diffraction efficiency, and restricted integration potential. The advent of metasurfaces offers a new way to manipulate the optical field and a versatile way to build different spatially structured light beams based on requirements\textsuperscript{23−34}.

However, traditional metasurfaces that produce structured light beams can only manipulate polarization in the two-dimensional space of the $x$-$y$ plane, that is, the polarization distribution remains constant in every output plane throughout the propagation path. Recently, scalar light fields\textsuperscript{35,36} and vector light fields\textsuperscript{37−40} have been employed by researchers to achieve longitudinally varying polarization behavior using metasurfaces, where the polarization state can change continuously as light propagates. The optical axis has been the primary focus of these longitudinal polarization alterations research. Nonetheless, transmission polarization modulation in three dimensions and self-accelerating transmission are also significant dimensions, in addition to modulation along the optical axis. The achievement of longitudinal continuous variation in non-axis and three-dimensional spatial polarization is a highly relevant topic that warrants further investigation in the context of structured light.

This paper reports the creation of new spatially structured light beams that enable polarization to change longitudinally along a helical path in space through the use of tri-layer metallic metasurfaces. In three-dimensional space, arbitrary trajectory beams can be generated using the Bessel-like accelerated beam creation method. Moreover, the polarization control at each point on the arbitrary trajectory can be realized by controlling the amplitude and phase difference of the orthogonal polarized beams. As shown in Fig. 1, metallic metasurfaces with three layers can be used to separately control the polarization and phase of propagating terahertz (THz) wave. The final realization is the continuous manipulation of $15^\circ$ linear polarization to $75^\circ$ linear polarization on the helical transmission trajectory, as well as the continuous manipulation of $15^\circ$ linear polarization to right circular polarization (RCP) and to $75^\circ$ linear polarization.

Our proposed longitudinally variable polarization arbitrary trajectory structured light beam can expand the range of possible configurations for structured light beam propagation and open up new possibilities for creating customized spatial structured light beams.

**Principle and design method**

An approach to producing accelerated Bessel beams along any path was initially put forth by Chremmos and Zhao et al.\textsuperscript{41,42}. Conical beams have continuous beam profiles at their vertices, and when these beams interfere, an accelerated Bessel beam is produced. A metal C-shaped split-ring antenna was utilized to control the incident THz wave’s wavefront phase, directing light onto a predetermined trajectory $(f(z), g(z), z)$ to create an accelerated Bessel beam. According to ref.\textsuperscript{43}, the phase distribution of the metasurface at vertical point $z=0$ is as follows:

$$Q(x,y) = k \int_{0}^{z} \left\{ [f’(\xi)]^2 + [g’(\xi)]^2 - (1/(k\eta))^2 \right\} d\xi - k \frac{(f-x)^2 + (g-y)^2}{2z},$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

where $(x, y)$ is the two-dimensional coordinate system of the plane on which the metasurface is placed; $k$ is the wave number of free space in vacuum; $\eta$ is the normalization coefficient of cross section coordinates. The predefined trajectory $(f(z), g(z), z)$ satisfies the following relation:
The preceding formula shows that the circle’s center moves gradually as z increases and that the analogous circles’ radii continue to expand. The beam $f(z)$, $g(z)$, $z$ transmission trajectory is the vertex of conical light emitted from these constantly moving equivalent circles. When two zero-order Bessel Gaussian beams with orthogonal polarization are superposed, the electric field $E$ can be written as follows:

$$E(r, z) = \exp \left(-\frac{r^2}{\omega_0^2}\right) J_0(k r) \exp (i k z) \cdot \left[ E_1(z) e^{i \delta_1(z)} e_1 + E_2(z) e^{i \delta_2(z)} e_2 \right],$$

(3)

where $E_1$ and $E_2$ correspond to the axial amplitude distribution of the two polarization eigenstates, respectively, the axial amplitude ratio and phase difference determine the change in polarization during propagation. To generate the pre-designed transmission trajectory and polarization distribution, the design of the metasurface includes two parts: transmission trajectory design and polarization control. Equation (2) reveals that any point on the pre-designed transmission trajectory can be realized by controlling the amplitude and phase difference of the orthogonal polarized beams corresponding to different circles.

With the use of a tri-layer metallic metasurface (details can be found in the design method), the top and bottom layers act as two polarizers with orthogonal polarization orientations due to their mutually perpendicular metagratings. Meanwhile, the middle layer—which consists of split-ring antennas with metallic C shapes—is used to adjust the phase of transmitted wave. The Jones matrix for the tri-layer metallic metasurface can be represented as:

$$J = \begin{bmatrix}
\sin^2 \theta & -\sin \theta \cos \theta \\
-\sin \theta \cos \theta & \cos^2 \theta
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & t_{xy} e^{i \varphi_{xy}}(\alpha) \\
t_{yx} e^{i \varphi_{yx}}(\alpha) & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\cos^2 \theta & \sin \theta \cos \theta \\
\sin \theta \cos \theta & \sin^2 \theta
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
-t_{yx} e^{i \varphi_{yx}}(\alpha) & -\sin \theta \cos \theta & \cos^2 \theta \\
-\sin \theta \cos \theta & -\sin^2 \theta & -\sin \theta \sin \theta \cos \theta
\end{bmatrix},$$

(4)

where, $t_{xy}$, $t_{yx}$ and $\varphi_{xy}$, $\varphi_{yx}$ represent the amplitude transmittance and phase for cross-polarization, respectively. $\alpha$
denotes the opening angle of the metallic C-shaped split-ring antennas, while $\theta$ represents the angle between the orientation of the bottom layer metagrating and the $x$-axis, as shown in the bottom of Fig. 1. Incoming THz waves sequentially pass through the metasurface from the lowest layer to the upper layer.

The predefined trajectory is a cylindrical helical path ($f(z) = 0.3\cos(\pi z/20)$, $g(z) = 0.3\sin(\pi z/20)$). The phase distribution at the input plane is obtained at a specified wavelength of 400 $\mu$m based on Eqs. (1) and (2), as illustrated in Fig. 2(a). In order to achieve longitudinal variations in linear polarization shown in Fig. 2(b), we optimized the phase distribution at the input plane into several regions based on Eq. (3). Within these distinct regions, we modulated the amplitude components $E_x$ and $E_y$ to achieve diverse forms of longitudinal polarization modulation. As depicted in Fig. 2(c), the input phase plane was separated into four sections, the orientations of the bottom layer metagratings of the corresponding tri-layer metasurface are $0^\circ$, $30^\circ$, $60^\circ$, and $90^\circ$, from inner to outer. As a result, this arrangement led to a gradual decrease in the amplitude component $E_x$ and an increase in $E_y$ from the innermost to the outermost sections. Consequently, this approach enabled the attainment of linear polarization changes along the predefined transmission trajectory. The polarization states corresponding to different propagation distances $z$ are illustrated on the Poincaré sphere, as shown in Fig. 2(d). It is noteworthy

![Fig. 2](image_url)
that when the incident THz wave is RCP, the corresponding Jones vector can be described as 
\[ E_{\text{in}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}[1 - i]^T \]
and the electric vector of the transmitted THz wave is:
\[ E_{\text{out}} = J \cdot E_{\text{in}} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \tau e^{i\varphi_{xy}(\alpha)} e^{i\theta} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \end{bmatrix}. \tag{5} \]

As evident from the above equation, the polarization state of the transmitted THz wave is determined by the angle \( \theta \) of the bottom layer metagratings. Simultaneously, metagratings with different angles in different regions will introduce different \( \theta \) phase factors, which require a \( -\theta \) phase compensation.

To achieve nonlinear longitudinal polarization variarions, the input phase plane is segmented into three regions. The transmitted wave in the innermost and outermost regions are pure \( E_x \) and \( E_y \) polarized, while the middle region is RCP, as shown in Fig. 2(e). To realize the RCP within the middle region, we combined 5x5 pixels into a larger unit and arranged a checkerboard pattern, filling them with 0° and 90° metagratings on the bottom layer. Due to the transmitted THz wave introducing a phase factor \( \theta \), a phase difference of \( \pi/2 \) is introduced into the complex amplitude of \( E_x \) and \( E_y \) within the middle region. In addition, the phase compensation corresponding to \( -\theta \) is performed on the innermost and outermost region, respectively. Ultimately, this methodology facilitated the transition from linear to RCP and back to linear polarization along the predefined transmission trajectory. The corresponding polarization states at different propagation distances \( z \) are visualized on the Poincaré sphere, as illustrated in Fig. 2(f).

To achieve these special light fields, both polarization and phase modulation are required. Previous studies have achieved polarization manipulation of beam propagation trajectories using single-layer dielectric metasurfaces. However, it is challenging to fabricate dielectric metasurfaces in the THz band due to the large wavelength of THz waves. On the other hand, a bilayer diatomic metasurface has been designed based on the detour phase method for vectorial holography in the visible light range. However, the biatomic metasurfaces is limited to first-order diffraction, which poses a barrier to measurements in the THz band. Additionally, the dispersion caused by the different diffraction angles at different wavelengths will bring difficulties to broadband applications. Furthermore, the detour phase method requires a large pixel size which reduces the resolution of metasurface. Here, we use tri-layer metallic metasurfaces to achieve joint control of phase and polarization in the THz band. The schematic diagram of the tri-layer metallic metasurface unit structure is illustrated in Fig. 3(a). The unit cell comprises three layers of metallic structures separated by interlayer dielectrics, fabricated on a 500 \( \mu \)m thick high-resistance silicon substrate. The top and bottom layers consist of mutually orthogonal metagratings, while the middle layer comprises a C-shaped split-ring metallic structure. The metagratings are employed for polarization filtering, whereas the C-shaped split-ring is utilized for modulating the phase of the incident wave. Here we have performed 128-order quantization on the C-shaped split-ring antenna, and their phase delay covers 0–2\( \pi \). Between the metal layers is the dielectric material polyimide (PI). At the same time, these layers form a Fabry-Perot like resonant cavity achieving a high operating efficiency over 75% in the transmission mode. The commercial software FDTD solutions was used to simulate the metasurface and optimize the parameters. The specific parameters are as follows: the operating frequency is 0.75 THz, and the unit period size is 100 \( \mu \)m. The thicknesses of the two PI layers and three metal layer structures are 40 \( \mu \)m and 150 \( \mu \)m, respectively. The width and period of the top and bottom metagratings are 10 \( \mu \)m and 20 \( \mu \)m, while the inner and outer diameters of the metal C-shaped split-ring antenna are 35 \( \mu \)m and 45 \( \mu \)m, respectively.

Results and discussion

A THz focal plane imaging system was used to characterize the performance of the samples. The experimental set-up is shown in Fig. 4. A 35-fs ultra-short laser pulse with a central wavelength of 800 nm, a repetition rate of 1 kHz, and an average power of 900 mW was emitted from a Ti:sapphire regenerative amplifier. This laser pulse was split into two beams and employed as the pump and probe beams for generation and detection of THz waves. A ZnTe crystal with crystal orientation \(<110>\) was used to generate THz pulses through the optical rectification effect, while another ZnTe crystal with the same crystal orientation for detection. The THz complex field was extracted using balanced electro-optic detection.

Initially, along the proposed spatial structured light beam, we achieved a continuous linear polarization transition from 15° to 75° within the transmission range of \( z \) from 5 mm to 15 mm. The incident polarization
state of THz radiation is RCP. The properties of the sample were characterized using the THz focal-plane imaging system. In the experiment, the complex fields (including amplitude and phase information) for two orthogonal polarizations ($x$ and $y$ polarization) are measured, thus the intensity distributions on the cross-sections can be obtained, the point with maximum intensity can be found and the corresponding polarization on this point can be calculated. By manipulating the translation stage which carries the sample, the electric field distribution at different propagation distances $z$ can be measured. Figure 5(a) and 5(b) depict the cross-sectional intensity profiles of the electric field at a propagation distance of $z=15$ mm for the simulation and experiment, respectively. Figure 5(c) presents the cross-sectional intensity profiles (red and blue solid lines) extracted from Fig. 5(a) and 5(b) along the white dashed lines. Modulated by the metasurface, the initial Gaussian beam exhibits a prominent bright central lobe surrounded by sidelobes. The distribution of the electric field intensity bears resemblance to a Bessel function pattern. The first and second rows of Fig. 5(d) display the intensity distributions at different distances for $E_x$ and $E_y$ components, respectively. From the experimental results, it is observed that the intensity $I_x$ for the electric field component $E_x$ reaches its maximum value when the transmission distance $z=9$ mm, subsequently, it gradually decreases with propagation distance enlarging, and becomes exceedingly weak when the transmission distance $z=15$ mm. Conversely, the intensity $I_y$ of the electric field component $E_y$ is very weak when the transmission distance $z=5$ mm, it gradually increases with the propagation distance increases. Simultaneously, to observe the cross-sectional distribution of the total electric field intensity $I$ at different distances, the total intensity distributions $I$ of the electric field at different distance are calculated and shown in the third row of Fig. 5(d). The distance is varied from 5 mm to 15 mm. The central bright spot is delineated by a white dashed line. It is observed that the intensity maximum point of the light spot moves during propagation. The trajectory closely follows the predefined circular helical path at sectional positions from $z=5$ mm to $z=15$ mm. Utilizing the measured amplitudes and phases of $E_x$ and $E_y$, we synthesized the
Fig. 4 | Schematic of experimental setup. L, lens; PM, parabolic mirror; TP, THz polarizer; TQWP, THz quarter wave plate; BS, beam splitter; HWP, half-wave-plate; P, polarizer, QWP, quarter wave plate; WP, Wollaston prism; CCD: charge-coupled device.

Fig. 5 | Experimental results for a structured light beam with linear longitudinal polarization variations along a helical transmission trajectory. (a, b) Cross-sectional intensity profiles of the electric field at a propagation distance of z=15 mm for the simulation and experiment, respectively. (c) Cross-sectional intensity profiles (red and blue solid lines) extracted from (a) and (b) at the locations of white dashed lines. (d) The electric field component intensity and electric field intensity at different distances. (e, f) Amplitudes and phase difference of electric field components $E_x$ and $E_y$ at different propagation distances. (g) Theoretical, simulated and experimental transmission trajectories.
polarization states at different propagation distances, as depicted in the lower-left corner of the third row in Fig. 5(d). Within the range from z=5 mm to z=15 mm, a continuous transition from 15° linear polarization to 75° linear polarization was achieved. Figure 5(e) and 5(f) respectively represent the simulated and experimental results of the amplitudes and phase difference of electric field components $E_x$ and $E_y$ at different propagation distances. Figure 5(g) shows the theoretical, simulated and experimental transmission trajectories.

On the same cylindrical helical trajectory ($f(z) = 0.3\cos(\pi z/20)$, $g(z) = 0.3\sin(\pi z/20)$), we can change the polarization of state from linear polarization to elliptical polarization, RCP, elliptical polarization, and back to linear polarization again within the range of z from 5 mm to 15 mm. Figure 6(a) presents the intensity distribution of each electric field component and total field at different distances. It can be observed that the intensity $I_x$ of the $E_x$ component reaches its maximum value at a transmission distance of $z=7$ mm and gradually decreases as the transmission progresses, becoming extremely weak at $z=15$ mm, as shown in the first row of Fig. 6(a). Conversely, the intensity $I_y$ of the $E_y$ component is extremely weak at $z=5$ mm and gradually increases with the transmission distance, as depicted in the second row of Fig. 6(a). The total electric field intensity $I$ at different distances are synthesized and shown in the third row of Fig. 6(a), the distance is ranged from 5 mm to 15 mm. The central bright spot is encircled by a white dashed line. It can also be observed that the central bright spot shifts with propagation, closely following the predefined cylindrical helical trajectory in the range of $z=5$ mm to $z=15$ mm. Utilizing the measured amplitudes and phases of $E_x$ and $E_y$ components, the polarization states are synthesized at different propagation distances, as shown in the bottom left corner of the third row of Fig. 6(a), achieving a gradual transition from linear to RCP and then back to linear polarization within the range of 5 mm to 15 mm. Figure 6(b) displays the simulated results and experimental results for the amplitudes of $E_x$ and $E_y$ components at different propagation distances, while Fig. 6(c) illustrates the corresponding phase difference between $E_y$ and $E_x$ components at different propagation distances. Figure 6(d) shows the theoretical, simulated and experimental transmission trajectories. The working efficiency was derived as the amplitude integral of the transmitted wave divided by the amplitude integral of the incident RCP THz wave, which was 0.384 in the experiment. It can be found that the working efficiency is almost half that of the three-layer metasurface unit, which is attributed to the underlying meta-grating reflecting half of the electric field of the incident RCP THz wave.

From above two experimental results, it can be found that within the 10 mm range of 5 mm –15 mm, the experimental and simulation results for the amplitudes of $E_x$ and $E_y$ at different distances are basically consistent. Beyond this range, the phase difference will have a large deviation. The possible reasons why the deviation becomes larger beyond the range of 10 mm are as follows:

In this experiment, the THz radiation is generated from a ZnTe crystal pumped with femtosecond laser. In order to get enough signal-noise-racion for the THz focal plane imaging system, the size of THz beam is limited to 1.5 cm×1.5 cm, therefore, the size of sample is limited within 1.2 cm×1.2 cm. Since the size of a single pixel is 0.1 mm×0.1mm, we have only 120×120 pixels to control the property of the generated structured light beam. Under these limitations, the final effective modulation range is about 10 mm. We believe that a larger THz spot and spatial bandwidth product can achieve more precise modulation over a longer range. In addition, it can be found that there is a large deviation between the simulation and experimental results at the end of the effective modulation range. This may be caused by the limitation of the THz spot size, alignment error of optical element, and fabrication error of metasurface.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, we have put forth a new technique for creating spatially structured light beams in which the polarization continually and longitudinally varies along any transmission path. We have successfully shown two spatially structured light beams based on tri-layer metallic metasurfaces. Along a same helical transmission trajectory, one shows continuous variation in polarization states from 15° linear polarization to 75° linear polarization, while the other consistently transitions from 15° linear polarization to RCP and then back to 75° linear polarization. Our suggested approach, in our opinion, can offer a fresh viewpoint on tailored structured beams and discover uses for them in fields including particle manipulation, optical encryption, and biological imaging.
Fig. 6 | Experimental results of a structured light beam with nonlinear longitudinal polarization variations along a helical transmission trajectory. (a) Intensity distributions for $E_x$, $E_y$, and total electric field at different distances. (b) Simulated and experimental amplitudes and (c) phase difference of electric field components $E_x$ and $E_y$ at different propagation distances. (d) Theoretical, simulated and experimental transmission trajectories.
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